Sunlight, water, and ice: Extreme Arctic sea ice melt during the summer of 2007
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The summer extent of the Arctic sea ice cover, widely recognized as an indicator of climate change, has been declining for the past few decades reaching a record minimum in September 2007. The causes of the dramatic loss have implications for the future trajectory of the Arctic sea ice cover. Ice mass balance observations demonstrate that there was an extraordinarily large amount of melting on the bottom of the ice in the Beaufort Sea in the summer of 2007. Calculations indicate that solar heating of the upper ocean was the primary source of heat for this observed enhanced Beaufort Sea bottom melting. An increase in the open water fraction resulted in a 500% positive anomaly in solar heat input to the upper ocean, triggering an ice--albedo feedback and contributing to the accelerating ice retreat.


1. Introduction

The summer extent of the Arctic sea ice cover, widely recognized as an indicator of climate change [Arctic Climate Impact Assessment, 2005], has been declining for the past few decades. In September 2007 it reached a record minimum of 4.2 million km², which was 1.6 million km² or 23 percent less than the previous record set in September 2005 [Stroeve et al., 2008]. The retreat was particularly pronounced in the East Siberian, Chukchi, and Beaufort Seas.

There are several possible causes of the dramatic loss, each of which has implications for the future trajectory of the Arctic sea ice cover. Earlier work has established the general impact on ice extent of warming trends [Johannessen et al., 2004], changes in atmospheric circulation [Rigor and Wallace, 2004; Maslanyk et al., 2007], increased export of older ice out the Fram Strait [Nghiem et al., 2007], low clouds [Francis and Hunter, 2006], advection of ocean heat from the Pacific [Woodgate et al., 2006; Shimada et al., 2006] and North Atlantic [Polyakov et al., 2007], and enhanced solar heating of the ocean [Perovich et al., 2007].

Observations of the amount of melting at the top and bottom surfaces of the Arctic sea ice cover provide insight into the nature of the observed decline in its extent. Such measurements can be made during field experiments [Untersteiner, 1961; Perovich et al., 2003] or by using autonomous ice mass balance buoys [Richter-Menge et al., 2006]. Top melting is determined by the net surface heat budget of the ice and thus includes changes due to radiative forcing and air temperature. Bottom melting is determined by the amount of heat in the upper ocean and the transfer of that heat to the underside of the ice. A time series of observed ice melt can provide perspective on changes in the surface and ocean heat budgets.

In this paper we present ice mass balance observations from the Beaufort Sea and North Pole regions for several years. These observations indicate that there was an extraordinarily large amount of bottom melting of the ice in the Beaufort Sea in the summer of 2007 and that solar heating of the upper ocean was the primary heat source.

2. Results and Discussion

Observations of ice growth and surface and bottom melt have been made from autonomous ice mass balance buoys (IMB) [Richter-Menge et al., 2006] that drifted with the ice pack. These buoys are equipped with a datalogger, satellite transmitter, barometer, acoustic rangefinders placed above the ice surface and below the ice bottom, and a thermistor string extending from the surface through the snow and ice into the upper ocean [Perovich and Richter-Menge, 2006; Richter-Menge et al., 2006]. The IMBs provide information on snow accumulation and melt, ice growth and decay, the onset dates of melt and freezeup, and the ocean heat flux. While these observations are point measurements, they have been shown to represent aggregate-scale conditions [Perovich and Richter-Menge, 2006].

Figure 1 presents observations of surface and bottom melt from 1994 to 2007 in two regions: the Beaufort Sea and the vicinity of the North Pole (Figure 1 (bottom)). The average annual surface melt is greater in the Beaufort Sea (0.64 m) than near the North Pole region (0.26 m) because incident solar radiation is greater at the lower latitude of the Beaufort Sea. Both top and bottom melting exhibit interannual variability. Despite the extreme retreat of the ice cover during 2007, the amount of surface melt in both regions was not significantly different in 2007 compared to earlier years. Bottom melting at the North Pole in 2007 was also comparable to earlier years. In sharp contrast, there was a dramatic increase in bottom melting in the Beaufort sector in 2007.

The 2.10 m of bottom melt in 2007 in the Beaufort Sea was more than six times the annual average value of 0.34 m for the 1990s and two and a half times the 2006 average annual surface melt of 0.64 m. This is consistent with the anomalously high open water fraction during the summer of 2007.
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result. This observation indicates that bottom melting was a 
major contributor to the 2007 ice loss in the Beaufort Sea. 
Details of the Beaufort results are presented in Figure 2, 
which shows the annual cycle of temperature and mass 
balance from August 2006 through December 2007. For the 
most part, conditions were typical of thick (3.2 m) multiyear 
ice in this region: minimum winter air temperatures of 
\(-45^\circ C\), snow depth of 0.4 m, winter ice growth of 
0.33 m, and onset of melt in early June. What was 
extraordinary was the rapid bottom melting. In the month 
of August, bottom melting averaged 4 cm per day and 
reached maximum values of 11 cm per day in the last week 
of August, compared to characteristic averages of about 
1 cm per day for this region [Perovich et al., 2003].

The cumulative solar heat directly input to the ocean from 
1 January to 22 September in 2007 was compared to values 
for the same time interval averaged over the years 1979– 
2005 [Perovich et al., 2007]. There was ample 
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source of the large amount of ocean heat in 2007. Open 
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compared to 85% for snow-covered sea ice and 65% for 
bare sea ice. As the ice cover decays, highly reflecting ice 
is replaced by highly absorbing ocean, resulting in more 
solar heat absorption and more melting. Furthermore, an 
ice cover thinned by excessive bottom melt transmits 
more solar radiation directly to the ocean than the original 
thicker ice cover. This is the classic ice–albedo feedback 
mechanism.

The solar heat input directly to the upper ocean \( F_{ru} \) 
can be estimated using the relationship

\[
F_{ru} = F_s(1 - \alpha_w)A_w, 
\]  

where \( F_s \) is the incident solar irradiance, \( \alpha_w \) is the albedo of 
the ocean, and \( A_w \) is the fractional area of ice-free ocean. 
This relationship represents a lower bound on the solar heat 
input to the upper ocean, as it does not consider the 
contribution from sunlight penetrating through the ice cover 
into the ocean.

Using Equation 1, daily values of \( F_{ru} \) from 1 January 
2007 to 21 September 2007 were computed on a 25-km \( C_2 \) 
25-km grid over the entire Arctic Ocean and adjacent seas. 
The daily values were then integrated over the entire time 
period to get the cumulative solar heat input. The ocean 
albedo was set to 0.07 based on observations by Pegau and 
Paudson [2001]. Values of \( A_w \) were obtained from passive 
microwave satellite data and \( F_s \) from operational products of 
the European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecasts. 
The cumulative solar heat directly input to the ocean from 
1 January to 22 September in 2007 was compared to values 
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2005 [Perovich et al., 2007].

Figure 2 shows the percent anomaly for 2007 com-
pared to the 1979–2005 average. The ice mass balance 
buoy drift track is plotted in black. The 2007 solar heat 
input in the buoy drift area was 400–500% higher than 
average. This anomaly can only result from changes in 
incident solar irradiance or in the area fraction of open water 
(Equation 1); changes in ice thickness or pond cover would 
not affect this calculation. The incident solar irradiance 
anomaly in June through August 2007 was only 6%, 
indicating that the positive solar heat input anomaly was 
due to the larger-than-average fraction of open ocean area in 
this region \( (A_w) \). The 2007 ocean area fraction was 0.34 in 
July and 0.51 in August 2007, compared to 1979–2005 
mean July and August values of 0.19 and 0.26, respectively. 
Thus the positive anomaly in solar heat input was due to an 
amost doubling of the area fraction of open water in 2007 
compared to the prior climatology.

Calculations confirm that solar heating due to open 
water was sufficient in magnitude and in timing to produce 
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Figure 2. Time series from August 2006 to October 2007 from the Beaufort Sea ice mass balance buoy. (top) Air temperature. (middle) Internal ice temperature using color contours, with blue being cold and red warm. The gray shaded area represents snow, the black areas represent missing data, and the dark blue represents the ocean. (bottom) Upper ocean temperature near the bottom of the ice (black) and the bottom melt rate (red) in cm per day. Bottom melt rates were smoothed using a three-day running mean.

Figure 3. Anomaly of 2007 total (1 January through 21 September) cumulative solar heat input directly into the ocean compared to the average from 1979 to 2005. The black line designates the drift track of the ice mass balance buoy from 1 June to 21 September 2007. The four dots denote positions from mid-July to mid-September that were averaged to calculate the time series in Figure 4.
residual heat for bottom melting of ice floes and general warming of the upper ocean, both of which are likely to further hasten the decay of the Arctic sea ice cover. Bottom melting increases the area of open water and consequently the solar heat input, affording a positive feedback. Warming of the upper ocean retards freezing and extends the impact of summer heat input into the fall and winter. For example, the excess solar heat in Figure 4 is sufficient to warm the upper 5 m of the ocean by 5°C. The impact of this heating is evident in the observed warming of the upper ocean [Steele et al., 2008] and the slow recovery of the ice cover in this region during the 2007 fall freezeup [Comiso et al., 2008]. Combining results from this analysis with oceanographic observations will delineate the relative contributions of local solar heating and advected ocean heat from lower latitudes.

3. Conclusions

There was an extraordinarily large amount of ice bottom melting in the Beaufort Sea region in the summer of 2007. Solar radiation absorbed in the upper ocean provided more than adequate heat for this melting. An increase in the open water fraction resulted in a 500% positive anomaly in solar heat input to the upper ocean, triggering an ice–albedo feedback. The melting in the Beaufort Sea has elements of a classic ice–albedo feedback signature: more open water leads to more solar heat absorbed, which results in more melting and more open water. The positive ice–albedo feedback can accelerate the observed reduction in Arctic sea ice. Questions remain regarding how widespread this extreme bottom melting was, what initially triggered the increase in area of open water, and what the summer of 2007 portends for 2008 and beyond.
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Figure 4. Results from the Beaufort Sea in 2007. The dashed line shows the time series of the heat required for the observed bottom melting, and the solid line shows the solar heat directly input to the ocean in the region defined by the average of the four points in Figure 3.